

AO 450 (Rev. 5/85) Judgment in a Civil Case

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

-----Eastern----- DISTRICT OF -----Virginia-----
Richmond Division

MARIA CAMILLA VALENCIA RIOS,
AQUARIUS FILALI, and NADJI FILALI,
on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated
individuals,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BELVEDERE NRDE, LLC, PEGASUS
RESIDENTIAL, LLC and GLENMOOR
OAKS NRDE, LLC,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Case number: 3:25cv474

Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

XX Decision by Court. *This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this class action against Defendants Belvedere NRDE, LLC, Pegasus Residential, LLC, and Glenmoor Oaks NRDE, LLC, on behalf of a class of consumers that has been defined as follows: SEE ATTACHED ORDER FOR DETAILS. The Court awards \$1,287,333.33 as reasonable attorneys' fees and reimbursement for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, to be paid from the Settlement Fund. Named Plaintiffs Maria Valencia Rios, Aquarius Filali, and Nadji Filali are each awarded the sum of \$15,000 to be paid from the Settlement Fund. SEE ATTACHED ORDER FOR DETAILS.

August 28, 2026
Date

LAURA G. GRIFFIN,
Clerk

/S/ Kathy B. Hancock
(By) Deputy Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

MARIA CAMILLA VALENCIA RIOS,
AQUARIUS FILALI, and NADJI FILALI,
on behalf of themselves and all
similarly situated individuals,
Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil No. 3:25-cv-474

BELVEDERE NRDE, LLC, PEGASUS
RESIDENTIAL, LLC, and GLENMOOR
OAKS NRDE, LLC,
Defendants.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL (ECF No. 102) of the proposed class action settlement with Belvedere NRDE, LLC, Pegasus Residential, LLC, and Glenmoor Oaks NRDE, LLC (collectively, "Defendants"), as well as PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS (ECF No. 95). Having considered all papers filed and arguments made with respect to the Settlement (ECF No. 89-1), and having provisionally certified Settlement Classes (ECF No. 90), the Court hereby FINDS that:

1. On August 24, 2026, the Court held a Final Approval Hearing, at which time the parties were afforded the opportunity to be heard in support of or in opposition to the Settlement.

2. Certification for settlement purposes of the Settlement Classes, as defined by the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order, is appropriate pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b) of

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. Notice to the Settlement Class Members required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) has been provided in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order. Such notice has been given in an adequate and sufficient manner, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and satisfies Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) and due process.

4. Defendants have timely filed notification of this settlement with the appropriate officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. The Court has reviewed Defendants' notice of compliance and finds that Defendants' notice complies with the application requirements of CAFA.

5. The Settlement Agreement was arrived at as a result of arms' length negotiations conducted in good faith by counsel for the Parties, and is supported by the Parties.

6. The Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the members of the Settlement Classes, in light of the complexity, expense, and duration of litigation, and the risks involved in establishing liability, damages, and in maintaining the class action through trial and appeal.

7. The relief provided in the Settlement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of claims.

8. The list of individuals attached to the Settlement Administrator's Declaration filed on August 10, 2026 (ECF No. 103-2) are determined to have validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Classes in accordance with the provisions of the settlement and the Preliminary Approval Order.

9. There are no pending objections to Settlement, as all three previously filed objections have been withdrawn (ECF Nos. 99-101).

10. The Parties and each Class Member have irrevocably submitted to the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of the Settlement Agreement.

11. It is in the best interests of the Parties and the Settlement Class Members and consistent with principles of judicial economy that any dispute between any Settlement Class Member (including any dispute as to whether any person is a Settlement Class Member) and any Released Party, which in any way relates to the applicability or scope of the Settlement Agreement or the Final Approval Order, should be presented exclusively to this Court for resolution.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL (ECF No. 102) as well as PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS (ECF No. 95) are GRANTED and that:

12. This action is a class action against Defendants Belvedere

NRDE, LLC, Pegasus Residential, LLC, and Glenmoor Oaks NRDE, LLC, on behalf of a class of consumers that has been defined as follows:

All consumers: (1) who executed a lease at any of the Pegasus-Managed Properties and (2) paid or were obligated to pay any Disputed Fee from June 23, 2023 through January 29, 2026.

and a subclass as follows:

All consumers: (1) who executed a lease at any of the Participating Landlords Properties and (2) paid or were obligated to pay any Disputed Fee from June 23, 2023 through January 29, 2026.

The Settlement Classes do not include Defendants' officers, directors, and employees; Defendants' attorneys; Parties' counsel; any Judge overseeing or considering the approval of the Settlement, together with members of their immediate family and any judicial staff, and those who validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Classes as noted above.

13. The Settlement Agreement submitted by the Parties for the Settlement Classes (ECF No. 89-1) is finally approved pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Agreement shall therefore be deemed incorporated herein and the proposed settlement is finally approved and shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions thereof, except as amended or clarified by any subsequent order issued by this Court.

14. As agreed by the Parties, the Court hereby enjoins

Defendants from collecting the Lease Administration Fee and Community Fee at issue in this Litigation from Virginia tenants at Pegasus-Managed Properties.

15. This action is hereby dismissed on the merits, with prejudice and without costs.

16. As agreed by the Parties in the Settlement Agreement, upon the Effective Date, the Released Parties shall be released and discharged in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

17. Each Settlement Class Member is permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, maintaining, or prosecuting, either directly or indirectly, any lawsuit that asserts Released Claims against the Released Parties.¹

18. Without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court hereby reserves and retains jurisdiction over this Settlement, including the administration and consummation of the Settlement. In addition, without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over Defendant and each Settlement Class Member for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of or relating to this Order, the Settlement Agreement or the applicability of the Settlement Agreement. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any dispute concerning the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, any suit,

¹ Defined terms used in this Order have the same meaning provided in the Settlement Agreement.

action, arbitration or other proceeding by a Settlement Class Member in which the provisions of the Settlement Agreement are asserted as a defense in whole or in part to any claim or cause of action or otherwise raised as an objection, shall constitute a suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Order. Solely for purposes of such suit, action or proceeding, to the fullest extent possible under applicable law, the parties hereto and all members of the Settlement Class are hereby deemed to have irrevocably waived and agreed not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, or that this Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum.

19. Upon consideration of Class Counsel's application for fees and costs, the Court awards \$1,287,333.33 as reasonable attorneys' fees and reimbursement for reasonable out-of pocket expenses, to be paid from the Settlement Fund.

20. Upon consideration of the application for an individual service award, Named Plaintiffs Maria Valencia Rios, Aquarius Filali, and Nadji Filali are each awarded the sum of \$15,000 to be paid from the Settlement Fund, in consideration for the service they have performed for and on behalf of the Settlement Class.

21. The Parties' distribution plan of payments to the Settlement Class Members as detailed in the Settlement Agreement

is approved for implementation. Should funds remain after all distributions are made, and the check negotiation period provided for in the Settlement Agreement has passed, the Parties' chosen cy pres, National Association of Consumer Advocates, is approved for receiving such balance.

22. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that there is no just reason for delay, and directs the Clerk to enter final judgment.

It is so ORDERED.

/s/

REP

Robert E. Payne
Senior United States District Judge

Richmond, Virginia
Date: August 27, 2026