

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

TERRANCE GUIDRY, on behalf of  
himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

PENN CREDIT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CASE NO.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Terrance Guidry (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, sues Defendant, Penn Credit Corporation (“Defendant” or “Penn”), for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227, *et seq.* (“TCPA”), and alleges on personal knowledge, investigation of his counsel, and on information and belief as follows:

**NATURE OF ACTION**

1. Plaintiff brings this class action for damages and other equitable and legal remedies resulting from the unlawful conduct of Defendant in negligently or knowingly and/or willfully placing calls to the cellular telephones belonging to Plaintiff and putative Class Members for non-emergency purposes, using an automatic telephone-dialing system without their prior express consent, in violation of the TCPA. Plaintiff and putative Class Members were not Penn customers at the time the calls at issue were placed. Upon information and belief, these calls were intended for persons other than Plaintiff and putative Class Members.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this matter because Plaintiff's claims arise from violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 227, *et seq.*, which is a law of the United States. This Court also has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because the matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, as each putative Class Member is entitled to \$500.00 per call negligently placed in violation of the TCPA, or \$1,500.00 per call knowingly and/or willfully placed in violation of the TCPA, exclusive of attorneys' fees, pre-judgment interest, and costs, and is a class action in which Plaintiff is a citizen of a state different from Defendant.

3. Venue is appropriate with this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2), because many of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District, Defendant conducts business in this District, and has intentionally availed itself of the laws and markets within this District.

### **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff, Terrance Guidry, is and was at all times relevant to this matter a citizen of the state of Louisiana.

5. Defendant, Penn Credit Corporation is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business located at 2800 Commerce Drive Harrisburg, PA 17110. Penn is a financial services company specializing in third party debt collection.

### **THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**

6. The TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §§ 227, *et seq.*, was enacted by Congress in 1991 to address consumer complaints over rampant telemarketing practices.

7. Section 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) bans the use of an autodialer to place telephone calls to cellular telephones unless the consumer has provided prior written consent to receive such calls, or the calls are placed for emergency purposes.

8. The TCPA is designed to protect individual consumers from receiving intrusive and unwanted telephone calls. *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, --US--, 132 S. Ct., 740, 745, 181, L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).

9. Senator Fritz Hollings, the TCPA's sponsor, described these calls as "the scourge of modern civilization, they wake us up in the morning; they interrupt our dinner at night; they force the sick and elderly out of bed; they hound us until we want to rip the telephone out of the wall." 137 Cong. Rec. 30, 821 (1991). "Senator Hollings presumably intended to give telephone subscribers another option: telling the autodialers to simply stop calling." *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F. 3d 1242 (11th Cir. 2014).

10. According to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), "[u]nwanted calls and texts are the number one complaint to the FCC. There are thousands of complaints to the FCC every month on both telemarketing and robocalls. The FCC received more than 215,000 TCPA complaints in 2014."<sup>1</sup> While the complaint numbers fluctuate year to year, unwanted robocalls and telemarketing calls remain a perennial problem for consumers (172,000 complaints in calendar year 2015, 150,000 complaints in 2016, 185,000 complaints in 2017, and 232,000 complaints in 2018).<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-333676A1.pdf](https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-333676A1.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> Report on Robocalls, CG Docket No, 17-59 available at <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-356196A1.pdf> (last visited July 18, 2019); *See, also*, FCC, Consumer Complaint Data Center, <https://www.fcc.gov/consumer-help-center-data> (last visited July 18, 2019).

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

11. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an individual residing in the state of Louisiana. He is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39), and the “called party.” *See, Breslow v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 755 F. 3d 1265 (11th Cir. 2014) and *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F.3d 1242 (11th Cir. 2014).

12. Plaintiff has been a Metro PCS subscriber since at least December 2017 and holder of cellular telephone number 337-XXX-4748 since that time. Plaintiff was the called party and recipient of Defendant’s calls, as described herein.

13. Between August 2018 and October 2018, Defendant placed, or caused to be placed, at least two telephone calls to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone number using an automatic telephone dialing system (“ATDS”) or pre-recorded or artificial voice.

14. The automated debt collection calls were initiated from 800-900-1380, a number assigned to Defendant. The calls were attempts to collect a debt from Osmel Sanchez, a person unknown to Plaintiff. On one or more occasion, Plaintiff called the number back in an effort to discern the identity of the caller and state that he is not Osmel Sanchez.

15. Plaintiff is not now, nor ever has been, a customer of Penn, and does not have an account or business relationship with them.

16. Plaintiff did not provide his cellular telephone number to Defendant, nor did he ever provide express consent for Defendant to place calls to his cellular telephone regarding debts owed by Osmel Sanchez or for any other purpose.

17. Defendant’s robocalls, as received by Plaintiff, did not provide him an opportunity to opt out of, or request the cessation of Defendant’s calls.

18. All of the calls that Defendant placed and sent, or caused to be placed and sent, to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number were placed and sent using an ATDS or pre-recorded or artificial voice which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator (including but not limited to a predictive dialer) or an artificial or pre-recorded voice and to dial such numbers, as specified by 47 U.S.C § 227(a)(1).

19. The telephone number Defendant used to contact Plaintiff via an ATDS or pre-recorded or artificial voice was assigned to a cellular telephone service, as described in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

20. The pertinent calls were not placed or sent for emergency purposes, as defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

21. Defendant's placement of calls using an ATDS or pre-recorded or artificial voice for non-emergency purposes and without Plaintiff's prior express consent violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

22. Defendant has a corporate policy to use an ATDS or a pre-recorded or artificial voice to place calls to individuals, just as it did to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

23. Defendant has a corporate policy to use an ATDS or a pre-recorded or artificial voice, just as it did to Plaintiff's cellular telephone in this case, with no way for the consumer, or Defendant, to cease the placement of calls.

24. Defendant's corporate policy is structured so as to continue to place calls to individuals like Plaintiff, despite consumer requests that Defendant cease placing calls.

25. Defendant's corporate policy provided no means for Plaintiff to have his number removed from the call list.

26. Defendant has a corporate policy to harass and abuse individuals despite actual knowledge that the called parties have no relation to Defendant and do not wish to be called.

27. As a result of each of the calls described above, Plaintiff and putative Class Members suffered an invasion of privacy, as well as particularized and concrete injuries. Plaintiff and putative Class Members also suffered out-of-pocket losses, including the monies paid to their wireless carriers for the receipt of such calls. Additionally, due to both the answered and unanswered calls placed by Defendant, Plaintiff and putative Class Members suffered the expenditure of their time, exhaustion of their cellular telephone batteries, unavailability of their cellular telephones while Defendant's calls were incoming, and trespass upon their respective chattels. All of the above-mentioned injuries were caused by, and/or directly related to, Defendant's placement of calls to Plaintiff and putative Class Members by using an ATDS to call their cellular telephone numbers.

28. In response to Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff filed the instant lawsuit and seeks an injunction requiring Defendant to cease all unsolicited cellular telephone calls, and an award of statutory damages and actual damages to putative Class Members under the TCPA, together with costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

29. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4) and as detailed in the individual counts below, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks to represent the following persons ("the Class" or "Class Members"):

All persons within the United States who, between July 31, 2015 and the present, (1) received a non-emergency call to their cellular telephone numbers; (2) through the use of an automatic telephone-dialing system or an artificial or

pre-recorded voice; (3) from Defendant; and (4) who were not Penn customers at the time of the calls.

Plaintiff hereby reserves the right to amend or modify the class definition after having had an opportunity to conduct discovery.

30. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its employees, agents and assigns, and any members of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their Court staff, and Plaintiff's counsel.

### **Numerosity**

31. At present, Plaintiff does not know the exact number of putative Class Members. However, given the volume of cellular telephone numbers reassigned on a daily basis<sup>3</sup>, and the number of Penn customers throughout the United States, Class Members likely number in the thousands, if not hundreds of thousands, and are geographically disbursed throughout the country.

32. The alleged size and geographic disbursement of the putative Class, and relatively modest value of each individual claim, makes joinder of all Class Members impracticable or impossible.

### **Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact**

33. This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual Class Members. The common legal and factual questions include at least the following:

- a. whether Defendant uses an ATDS, or artificial or pre-recorded voice to place calls to cellular telephones;

---

<sup>3</sup> According to the Federal Communications Commission, as many as 100,000 cellular telephone numbers are reassigned every day. *In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991*, 30 F.C.C. Rcd. 7961 (July 10, 2015).

- b. whether between July 31, 2015 and the present, Defendant used an ATDS or artificial or pre-recorded voice to place calls to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and putative Class Members;
- c. whether between July 31, 2015 and the present, Defendant used an artificial or pre-recorded voice in connection with its placement of autodialed calls to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and putative Class Members;
- d. whether Defendant is subject to the TCPA;
- e. whether Defendant can show that it obtained prior express consent from Plaintiff and putative Class Members to place calls to their cellular telephones using an ATDS or artificial or pre-recorded voice;
- f. whether Defendant's conduct violates the TCPA;
- g. whether Defendant's conduct was negligent;
- h. whether Defendant's conduct was knowing and/or willful;
- i. whether Defendant is liable for damages, and the amount of such damages;
- j. whether Plaintiff and putative Class Members are entitled to declaratory relief;
- k. whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in conduct in violation of the TCPA in the future; and
- l. whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to any other remedy.

**Typicality**

34. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the putative Class Members, as Plaintiff and Class Members have been injured by Defendant's uniform misconduct – the placement of calls to cellular telephones using an ATDS or artificial or pre-recorded voice for non-emergency purposes and without prior express consent.

35. Plaintiff shares the aforementioned facts and legal claims and/or questions with all putative Class Members. Further, a sufficient relationship exists between Defendant's conduct and the damages sustained by Plaintiff and putative Class Members.

**Adequacy**

36. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of putative Class Members and is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in complex consumer class action litigation and matters specifically involving TCPA violations. Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously, and has no interest adverse or antagonistic to those of the Class.

**Superiority**

37. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all Class Members' claims is impracticable or impossible for at least the following reasons:

- a. The Class claims predominate over any questions of law or fact (if any) affecting only individual Class Members;
- b. Absent a Class, the Class Members will continue to suffer damage and Defendant's violations of the TCPA will continue without remedy;
- c. Given the size of individual Class Members' claims, few (if any) putative Class Members could afford to or would seek legal redress individually for the wrongs Defendant committed and continues to commit against them, and absent Class Members have no substantial interest in individually controlling the prosecution of individual actions;

d. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts, while also increasing the delay and expense to all parties and the courts. Comparatively, the class action device provides economies of scale and allows Class Members' claims to be comprehensively administered and uniformly adjudicated in a single proceeding;

e. When Defendant's liability has been adjudicated, claims of all Class Members can be administered efficiently and determined uniformly by the Court;

f. No difficulty impedes the action's management by the Court as a class action, which is the best available means by which Plaintiff and Class Members can seek redress for the damages caused to them by Defendant's uniform misconduct;

g. The litigation and trial of Plaintiff's claims are manageable;

h. Defendant has acted and/or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the Class by placing calls to their cellular telephone numbers, using an ATDS or artificial or pre-recorded voice, for non-emergency purposes and without their prior express consent, rendering just and appropriate final injunctive relief for the Class; and

i. Because Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory and equitable relief for the entire Class, the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class Members, and establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. Additionally, bringing individual claims would burden the courts and result in an inefficient method of resolving this action. As a practical matter, adjudications with respect to individual Class Members would be dispositive of the interests of other Class

Members who are not parties to the adjudication and may impair or impede their ability to protect their respective interests. Consequently, class treatment is a superior method for adjudication of the issues in this case.

38. The Class is also ascertainable as Members can be easily identified through Defendant's records or those of its vendors who placed the calls at issue on behalf of Defendant.

## **CAUSES OF ACTION**

### **COUNT I**

#### **NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. §§ 227, *et seq.***

39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 38, as though fully set forth herein.

40. Without prior express consent, Defendant placed calls for non-emergency purposes to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class Members using an ATDS or artificial or pre-recorded voice with the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called.

41. The foregoing acts constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including, but not limited to, each of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. §§ 227, *et seq.*

42. Under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), and as a result of the alleged negligent violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every non-emergency call placed in violation of the TCPA.

43. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting future violations of the TCPA.

44. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to an award of costs and expenses.

**COUNT II**  
**KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE**  
**TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**  
**47 U.S.C. §§ 227, et seq.**

45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 38, as though fully set forth herein.

46. Without prior express consent, Defendant placed calls for non-emergency purposes to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class Members using an ATDS or artificial or pre-recorded voice with the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called.

47. The foregoing acts constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including, but not limited to, each of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. §§ 227, et seq.

48. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), and as a result of the alleged knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages for each and every non-emergency call placed in violation of the statute.

49. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting future violations of the TCPA.

50. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to an award of costs and expenses.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Plaintiff and all putative Class Members the following relief against Defendant:

- A. \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every call negligently placed by Defendant in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;
- B. \$1,500.00 in statutory damages for each and every call willfully and/or knowingly placed by Defendant in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;
- C. An award of actual damages resulting from Defendant's violations of the TCPA;
- D. Injunctive relief seeking the implementation of measures by Defendant to stop future violations of the TCPA;
- E. An award of costs and expenses to Plaintiff's counsel;
- F. An order certifying this matter as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, certifying the Class defined herein, appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as Class Counsel; and
- G. Any additional relief that the Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all counts so triable.

Dated: July 31, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

**MORGAN & MORGAN, PA**

*/s/ Rene F. Rocha III*

Rene F. Rocha III (Louisiana Bar No. 34411)

909 Poydras Street, Suite 1625

New Orleans, LA 70112

T: 305-989-8688

Email: [rocha@forthepeople.com](mailto:rocha@forthepeople.com)

**MORGAN & MORGAN  
COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP**

John A. Yanchunis (pending *pro hac vice*)\*  
Jonathan B. Cohen (pending *pro hac vice*)\*  
201 N. Franklin St., 7th Floor  
Tampa, FL 33602  
Telephone: (813) 223-5505  
Facsimile: (813) 222-2434  
[jyanchunis@forthepeople.com](mailto:jyanchunis@forthepeople.com)  
[jcohen@forthepeople.com](mailto:jcohen@forthepeople.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

\* *pro hac vice* applications pending

ISSUE SUMMONS TO DEFENDANT  
AS FOLLOWS:

Penn Credit Corporation  
c/o Donald C. Donagher, Jr., Registered Agent  
3801 PGA Boulevard, Suite 600  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<p><b>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS</b></p> <p>TERRANCE GUIDRY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,</p> <p><b>(b)</b> County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Lafayette Parish, LA</u> <i>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</i></p> <p><b>(c)</b> Attorneys <i>(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)</i> Rene F. Rocha III - MORGAN &amp; MORGAN, PA 909 Poydras Street, Suite 1625 New Orleans, LA 70112</p>	<p><b>DEFENDANTS</b></p> <p>PENN CREDIT CORPORATION,</p> <p>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Dauphin County, PA</u> <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i></p> <p>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.</p> <p>Attorneys <i>(If Known)</i></p>
--	---

<p><b>II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION</b> <i>(Place an "X" in One Box Only)</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question <i>(U.S. Government Not a Party)</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity <i>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</i></p>	<p><b>III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES</b> <i>(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)</i></p> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of This State</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td>Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> </tr> </table>		PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF	Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF																				
Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4																				
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5																				
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6																				

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)* Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<p><b>PERSONAL INJURY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <p><b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <p><b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <p><b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<p><b>REAL PROPERTY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<p><b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<p><b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b></p> <p><b>Habeas Corpus:</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <p><b>Other:</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<p><b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	
		<p><b>LABOR</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act			
		<p><b>IMMIGRATION</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions			

**V. ORIGIN** *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

1 Original Proceeding   
 2 Removed from State Court   
 3 Remanded from Appellate Court   
 4 Reinstated or Reopened   
 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)*   
 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer   
 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):*  
47 U.S.C. §227, et seq.

Brief description of cause:  
Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**   
 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.   
**DEMAND \$** \_\_\_\_\_   
CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
**JURY DEMAND:**     Yes     No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY** *(See instructions):*   
JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_   
DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: 07/31/2019   
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: s/ Rene F. Rocha III

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_   
AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_   
APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_   
JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_   
MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.  
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.



Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_ , who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_ .

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: