1 THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 JAMES GINZKEY, RICHARD Case No.: 2:18-cv-1773-RSM FITZGERALD, CHARLES CERF, BARRY 10 DONNER, and on behalf of the class **ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'** 11 members described below, **UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR** PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS 12 Plaintiffs, ACTION SETTLEMENT AND REQUEST FOR FAIRNESS HEARING 13 v. 14 NATIONAL SECURITIES 15 CORPORATION, a Washington Corporation 16 Defendant. 17 Before the Court is the Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class 18 19 Action Settlement and Request for Fairness Hearing (the "Unopposed Motion"). The Court has 20 considered the Unopposed Motion, together with its supporting declarations and exhibits. This 21 Court has reviewed the Parties' Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), as well as the files, 22 records, and proceedings to date in this matter. For purposes of this Order, capitalized terms 23 used below shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Unopposed Motion, unless otherwise 24 defined. 25 26 27 28 STOLTMANN LAW OFFICES, P.C. Based on this Court's review of the Agreement and all of the files, records, and

proceedings herein, the Court concludes, upon preliminary examination, that the Agreement

the Class to confirm that the Agreement and Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and

1 2 3 and Settlement appear fair, reasonable, and adequate, and within the range of reasonableness 4 for preliminary settlement approval, and that a hearing should and will be held after notice to 5 6 to determine whether the Settlement should be approved and final judgment entered in this 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

action based upon the Agreement.

A. **Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement.**

The Agreement is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate and within the range of reasonableness for preliminary settlement approval. The Court finds that: (a) the Agreement resulted from extensive arm's length negotiations; and (b) the Agreement is sufficient to warrant notice of the Settlement to persons in the Class and a full hearing on the approval of the Settlement.

В. Settlement Hearing.

A final approval hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") shall be held before the Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez on November 3, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. as set forth in the Notice to the Class, to determine whether the Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved. Papers in support of final approval of the Agreement, the incentive award to Plaintiffs, and Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses (the "Fee Application") shall be filed with the Court according to the schedule set forth in Paragraph K below. The Final Settlement Approval Hearing, and all dates provided for herein, may, without further notice to the Class, be continued or adjourned by order of this Court. After the

Settlement Hearing, the Court may enter a settlement order and final judgment in accordance with the Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of the Class Members with respect to the Released Claims being settled.

C. Class Notice.

Class Notice shall be sent within thirty (30) days following entry of this Order.

American Legal Claim Services, LLC is appointed as Claims Administrator.

D. Mail Notice.

The Claims Administrator will provide mail postcard notice as set forth in Exhibit 1 of Exhibit B to the Unopposed Motion to persons in the Class for whom the Defendant possesses mailing addresses. Mail Notice will be sent via first-class mail to the most recent mailing address as reflected in reasonably available records of the Defendant. Skip tracing shall be performed by the Claims Administrator for all returned mail.

E. Findings Concerning Class Notice.

The Court finds that the foregoing program of Class Notice and the manner of its dissemination is the best practicable notice under the circumstances and is reasonably calculated to apprise the Class of the pendency of this action and their right to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the Class Notice program is reasonable, that it constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice and that it meets the requirements of due process and FRCP 23. The Court hereby approves notices substantially in the same form as Exhibits 1 and 2 to Exhibit B the Unopposed Motion.

F. Administration.

The Court confirms that it is appropriate for the Defendant to provide the information

2.1

necessary to provide the notice contemplated herein and to administer the settlement, including names, addresses, and personal identifying information.

G. Objections and Appearances.

Any person in the Class who has not timely submitted a valid request for exclusion from the Class, and thus is a Class Member, may object to the proposed Settlement and appear at the Final Approval Hearing to argue that the proposed settlement should not be approved and/or to oppose the application of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and the incentive award to the named Plaintiffs.

- 1. In order to object to the Settlement, a Class member must make any objection in writing and file it with the Court and serve on all Parties not later than sixty (60) days after the Notice Mailing Date. The objection must include the person's name, address, telephone number, and signature, and must set forth, in clear and concise terms, the legal and factual arguments supporting the objection. Any objections that are not timely filed and mailed shall be forever barred.
- 2. In order to speak at the hearing, a Class member also must file with the Court and serve on all Parties a Notice of Intention to Appear at the Fairness Hearing with the Court no later than sixty (60) days after the Notice Mailing Date. The Notice must include the person's name, address, telephone number, and signature.

H. Further Papers in Support of Settlement And Fee Application.

Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and the Motion for Final Approval shall be filed within ten (10) days of the Objection Deadline as provided in Paragraph K.

I. Effect of Failure to Approve the Agreement.

In the event the Agreement is not approved by the Court, or for any reason the Parties

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

fail to obtain a Final Judgment as contemplated in the Agreement, or the Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms for any reason, then the following shall apply:

- All orders and findings entered in connection with the Agreement shall become null
 and void and have no further force and effect, shall not be used or referred to for any
 purposes whatsoever, and shall not be admissible or discoverable in any other
 proceeding;
- 2. The Agreement and its existence shall be inadmissible to establish any fact or any alleged liability of the Defendant for the matters alleged in this action or for any other purpose; and
- 3. Nothing contained in this Order is, or may be construed as, any admission or concession by or against the Defendant or Plaintiffs on any point of fact or law.

J. Stay/Bar of Other Proceedings.

All proceedings in this action are stayed until further order of the Court, except as may be necessary to implement the terms of the Settlement. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Plaintiffs, all persons in the Class and persons purporting to act on their behalf are enjoined from commencing or prosecuting (either directly, representatively, or in any other capacity) against any of the Released Parties any action, arbitration, or proceeding in any court, arbitration forum, or tribunal asserting any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

K. Timeline

<u>ACTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
Preliminary Approval Order Entered	At the Court's Discretion
Notice Mailing Date	Within 30 days following entry of the
	Preliminary Approval Order
Objection Deadline	60 days after Notice Mailing Date
Plaintiffs' Counsel Fee Motion Submitted	10 days after Objection Deadline
Final Approval Hearing/Noting Date	No earlier than 120 days after entry of the
	Preliminary Approval Order
Final Approval Order Entered	At the Court's Discretion

The Final Hearing is scheduled on November 3, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 13206 at the United States Courthouse, 700 Stewart Street, Seattle, WA 98101.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED this 7th day of June, 2022.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1	"Presented by"
2	By: /s/ David Neuman
_	David Neuman, Esq. (WSB #48176)
3	ISRAELS NEUMAN PLC
4	10900 NE 8th Street, Suite 1000
	Bellevue, Washington 98004 dave@israelsneuman.com
5	da ve (w) is the issue difficulties.
6	Joseph Wojciechowski, Esq. Sara Hanley, Esq.
7	Pro Hac Vice
	STOLTMANN LAW OFFICES, P.C.
8	161 N. Clark St., 16 th Floor
9	Chicago, Illinois 60601
10	PH: (312) 332-4200 joe@stoltlaw.com
10	sara@stoltlaw.com
11	
12	Joshua B. Kons, Esq.
12	LAW OFFICES OF JOSHUA B. KONS, LLC
13	Pro Hac Vice 92 Hopmeadow St., Lower Level
14	Weatogue, Connecticut 06089
	PH: (860) 920-5181
15	joshuakons@konslaw.com
16	Alexander Loftus, Esq., <i>pro hac vice</i>
17	LOFTUS & EISENBERG. LTD.
	161 N. Clark Street, Ste. 16th Floor
18	Chicago, IL 60601 PH: (312) 899-6625
19	Email: alex@loftusandeisenberg.com
20	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	7
28	
	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR STOLTMANN LAW OFFICES, P.C.