

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JACOB SILVER, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

LIVEWATCH SECURITY, LLC d/b/a
BRINKS HOME SECURITY f/k/a
BOLSTER LLC d/b/a SAFEMART;
MONITRONICS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
d/b/a BRINKS HOME SECURITY,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-02478-JS-AYS

**ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT;
CERTIFYING CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE;
AND SETTING DATE FOR FAIRNESS HEARING**

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Jacob Silver (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Livewatch Security, LLC and Monitronics International, Inc. (“Brinks Home Security”) (together the “Parties”), have reached a proposed settlement of this Litigation, which is set forth in the Settlement Agreement filed with the Court as ECF No. 47-1; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has applied to the Court for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and for preliminary certification of a Settlement Class; and

WHEREAS, Defendants join in the request for preliminary approval of the settlement and preliminary certification of a Settlement Class; and

WHEREAS, the Court has fully considered the record of these proceedings, the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto, the representations, arguments and recommendation of counsel

for the Parties and the requirements of law; and

WHEREAS, it appears to the Court that adequate investigation and research has been conducted such that the counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of this Litigation.

WHEREAS, it appears to the Court that the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and that a hearing should be held after notice to the Class of the proposed settlement to finally determine whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and whether a Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered in this Litigation.

THIS COURT FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The capitalized terms used in this Preliminary Approval Order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement except as may otherwise be ordered.
2. Preliminary approval of the proposed settlement is granted and the Parties are ordered to direct notice of the proposed settlement to the Settlement Class, in the manner set forth below. Pursuant to the standards for settlement approval set forth in Rule 23(e), the Court finds that it likely will be able to approve the settlement under Rule 23(e)(2)-(5) because the Class Representative and Class Counsel have adequately represented the Settlement Class and negotiated the settlement at arm's length; the settlement provides adequate relief for the Settlement Class, taking into account the costs, risks, and delay of trial and appeal; the proposed method of distributing relief to the Settlement Class is effective; the terms related to the award of attorneys' fees are reasonable; Class Counsel have identified all required agreements related to the settlement; the settlement treats all Settlement Class Members equitably relative to each other; and the Settlement provides Settlement

Class Members with an opportunity to opt out or object. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(2)-(3), (5). In addition, the Court finds that the *Grinnell* factors also support preliminary approval of the settlement and issuing notice to the Settlement Class, including the complexity, expense and likely duration of the Litigation; the amount of discovery completed at this stage of the Litigation; the risks of establishing liability and damages; the risk of certifying and maintaining a certified class through trial; the reasonableness of the settlement fund compared to the best possible recovery; and the reasonableness of the settlement fund, taking into account all the risks of continued litigation. *City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp.*, 495 F.2d 448, 463 (2d Cir. 1974), *abrogated on other grounds by Goldberger v. Integrated Res., Inc.*, 209 F.3d 43 (2d Cir. 2000).

3. The Settlement Agreement was entered into by experienced counsel after substantial adversarial proceedings, including significant discovery and motions, and only after extensive arm's-length negotiations, including a full-day private mediation conducted by Richard C. Byrne, Esq. of National Arbitration and Mediation, an experienced mediator, and free of any apparent collusion.

4. For purposes of the settlement only and subject to the Settlement Agreement, the Court finds that it will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of judgment on the settlement proposal because it appears the prerequisites for class certification under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been preliminarily satisfied, and conditionally certifies the following Settlement Class for the Class Period September 1, 2019 through the date of this Order:

All persons in the United States who paid one or more fees on or after September 1, 2019 to Brinks Home Security for Brinks Home Interactive Messaging powered by ASAPer.

5. The persons comprising the Settlement Class and to whom Notice is to be mailed are identified in the Class Member List, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, which list will be

maintained as indicated in the Settlement Agreement.

6. For purposes of settlement only, the Court preliminarily finds that the proposed Settlement Class satisfies the prerequisites for a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3), and the Court, pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, conditionally certifies the Settlement Class. The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the following requirements are met: (a) the above-described Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class Members; (c) Plaintiff's claims are typical of Settlement Class Members' claims; (d) Plaintiff has fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so, and Plaintiff has retained experienced Class Counsel; (e) the questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class Members predominate over any affecting any individual Settlement Class Member; and (f) a class action provides a fair and efficient method for settling the controversy under the criteria set forth in Rule 23 and is superior to alternative means of resolving the claims and disputes at issue in this Litigation. Accordingly, as required by Rule 23(e)(1)(B)(ii), the Court will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of judgment on the proposal.

7. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Litigation and personal jurisdiction over the Parties and all Settlement Class Members, including absent Settlement Class Members.

8. The Court appoints named Plaintiff Jacob Silver as Class Representative. The Court preliminarily finds that he will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of all Settlement Class Members, including absent Class Members.

9. The Court appoints Daniel A. Schlanger of Schlanger Law Group LLP, and Beth E. Terrell of Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC, as Class Counsel. The Court preliminarily finds that

they are competent, capable of exercising all responsibilities as Class Counsel and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of all Settlement Class Members, including absent Settlement Class Members.

10. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Settlement Class with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given pursuant to, the Settlement Agreement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to consummate the Settlement Agreement. Any Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of his or her own choosing and at his or her own expense. Any Settlement Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own will be represented by Class Counsel.

11. The Court approves American Legal Claim Services LLC to serve as the Settlement Administrator, which shall fulfill the functions, duties, and responsibilities of the Settlement Administrator as set forth in the Agreement and this Order.

12. Any information comprising or derived from the Class Member List provided to the Settlement Administrator or Class Counsel pursuant to the Settlement Agreement shall be provided solely for the purpose of providing Notice, or following final approval, Cash Awards, to Settlement Class Members and informing such Settlement Class Members about their rights under the settlement; shall be kept in strict confidence; shall not be disclosed to any third party other than as set forth in the Settlement Agreement to effectuate the terms of the Agreement or the administration process; shall be used for no other cases; and shall be used for no other purpose.

13. To the extent that any federal or state law governing the disclosure and use of consumers' financial information (including but not limited to "nonpublic personal information" within the meaning of the Graham–Leach–Bliley Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6801 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations) permits such disclosure only as required by an order of a court, this Order—

(a) qualifies as “judicial process” under 15 U.S.C. § 6802(e)(8); and

(b) authorizes the production of such information subject to this order’s protections, in which case the producing party’s production of such information in accordance with this Order constitutes compliance with the applicable law’s requirements. To the extent that any such law requires a producing or requesting party to give prior notice to the subject of any consumer financial information before disclosure, the Court finds that the limitations in this Order furnish good cause to excuse any such requirement, which the Court hereby excuses.

14. If the settlement is terminated or is not consummated for any reason, the foregoing conditional certification of the Class, appointment of the Class Representative and Class Counsel, and filing of the Second Amended Complaint shall be void and of no further effect, and the Parties to the proposed Settlement shall be returned to the status each occupied before entry of this Order, without prejudice to any legal argument that any of the parties to the settlement might have asserted but for the settlement.

15. A Fairness Hearing shall be held before this Court on July 29, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. at 100 Federal Plaza, Courtroom 1030, Central Islip, New York 11722, to address, among other things: (a) whether the Court should finally certify the Settlement Class and whether the Class Representative and Class Counsel have adequately represented the Settlement Class; (b) whether the proposed settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate and whether the Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered; (c) whether the Released Claims of the Settlement Class should be dismissed on the merits and with prejudice; (d) whether Class Counsel’s Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs and the Class Representative’s Service Award should be approved; and (e) such other matters as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate.

16. Papers in support of final approval of the settlement, the Class Representative’s

Service Award, and Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs shall be filed with the Court according to the schedule set forth in paragraph 28, below. The Fairness Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, or continued by order of the Court without further notice to the Settlement Class, except as provided in paragraph 28, below. After the Fairness Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Approval Order and Judgment in accordance with the Settlement Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of all Settlement Class Members with respect to the Released Claims being settled. The Court may finally approve the settlement at or after the Fairness Hearing with any modifications agreed to by Defendants and the Class Representative and without further notice to the Settlement Class, except such notice as may be provided through the Settlement Website.

17. The Court approves, as to form and content, the use of a Postcard Notice, a Long Form Notice, and an Email Notice (together the "Notice") substantially similar to the forms attached as Exhibits B-1, B-2, and B-3 to the Settlement Agreement, respectively. Postcard Notice will be provided to members of the Class by first-class U.S. mail using Defendants' records as well as other investigations deemed appropriate by the Settlement Administrator, updated by the Settlement Administrator in the normal course of business. Email Notice shall be delivered to those Settlement Class Members for whom Defendants have email addresses. Postcard Notices shall be mailed and Email Notices shall be emailed within 60 days of the date of entry of this Preliminary Approval Order. The Long Form Notice will be posted on the Settlement Website established by the Settlement Administrator after entry of this Preliminary Approval Order. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will submit to the Court a declaration of compliance with these notice provisions.

18. In the event the Postcard Notice is returned undeliverable with a forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly re-mail the Postcard Notice to the indicated forwarding

address. In the event the Postcard Notice is returned undeliverable without a forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly perform reasonable address traces for such returned notices. Except as set forth herein, there shall be no further obligation or attempt to obtain a forwarding address for any such returned mail or to further re-mail any such Postcard Notice or returned mail. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will submit to the Court a declaration of compliance with these notice re-mailing provisions.

19. Non-substantive changes may be made to the Notice by agreement of the Parties without further order of the Court.

20. The Notice, as directed in this Order and set forth in the Settlement Agreement, constitutes the best notice practicable under the unique circumstances of this case and is reasonably calculated to apprise the members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of this Litigation and of their right to object to the settlement or exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that the Notice program is reasonable, that it constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive such notice and that it meets the requirements of due process and of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

21. The cost of Notice and settlement administration shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund as provided for in the Settlement Agreement.

22. Any member of the Settlement Class who desires to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and therefore not be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, must mail a request for exclusion, which must be received by the Settlement Administrator no later than eighty-five (85) days after the date the Notice is mailed to the Settlement Class.

23. Each request for exclusion, or "Opt-Out", must be personally signed by the individual Settlement Class Member and include: (a) the Settlement Class Member's full name, address, and

telephone number; and (b) state unequivocally that the Settlement Class Member desires to be excluded from the Settlement Class and/or not to participate in the Settlement. No person shall purport to exercise any exclusion rights for any other person, or purport to exclude any other Settlement Class Member as a group, aggregate or class involving more than one Settlement Class Member, or as an agent or representative, except upon proof of a legal power of attorney, conservatorship, trusteeship, or other legal authorization. Any such purported exclusion shall be void and the person that is the subject of the purported opt-out shall be treated as a Settlement Class Member and be bound by the Settlement.

24. Any member of the Settlement Class who elects to be excluded shall not be entitled to receive any of the benefits of the Settlement, shall not be bound by the release of any claims pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and shall not be entitled to object to the settlement or appear at the Fairness Hearing.

25. Any Settlement Class Member who does not submit a valid and timely request for exclusion may object to the proposed settlement. Any such Settlement Class Member shall have the right to appear and be heard at the Fairness Hearing, either personally or through an attorney retained at the Settlement Class Member's own expense. Any such Settlement Class Member must file with the Court and mail or hand-deliver to the Settlement Administrator and Counsel for the Parties a written a statement of the specific objections made no later than eighty-five (85) days after the date the Notice is mailed and emailed to the Settlement Class. Each objection must (i) state the case name and case number of this Litigation; (ii) set forth the Settlement Class Member's full name, current address, and telephone number; (iii) contain the objector's original signature; (iv) state that the objector objects to the settlement, in whole or in part; (v) set forth the reasons why the objector objects to the settlement along with copies of any supporting materials; (vi) set forth the identity of

any attorney who assisted, provided advice, or represents the objector as to this Litigation or such objection; and (vii) state whether the objector intends on appearing at the Fairness Hearing either *pro se* or through counsel and whether the objecting Settlement Class Member plans on offering testimony at the Fairness Hearing.

26. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to timely object in the manner set forth herein shall be deemed to have waived, and shall forever be foreclosed from raising, any objection or opposition, by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise and shall be bound by all of the terms of this settlement upon Final Approval and by all proceedings, orders and judgments, including but not limited to the Release in the Litigation.

27. Pending entry of the Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Plaintiff, Settlement Class Members, and any person or entity allegedly acting on behalf of the Settlement Class, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, are preliminarily enjoined from commencing or prosecuting against the Released Parties any action or proceeding in any court or tribunal asserting any of the Released Claims, provided, however, that this injunction shall not apply to individual claims of any Class Members who timely exclude themselves in a manner that complies with this Order and the Agreement. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the settlement, this Order, and the Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate this settlement and to enter judgment when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a).

28. Further settlement proceedings in this matter shall proceed according to the following schedule:

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>SCHEDULED DATE</u>
Notice Deadline (mailing of Postcard Notice; emailing of Email Notice; posting of Long Form Notice on website)	60 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order
Attorney's Fees and Costs and Service Award application due by	40 days after the date Postcard Notice is mailed to the Settlement Class
Last day for Class Members to opt-out of Settlement (the Opt-Out Deadline)	85 days after the date Postcard Notice is mailed to the Settlement Class
Last day for Class Members to Object to the Settlement (the Objection Deadline)	85 days after the date Postcard Notice is mailed to the Settlement Class
Deadline to file briefs in support of Final Approval or Opposition to any Objections	14 days prior to the Fairness Hearing
Deadline to file certification regarding CAFA notice requirements	14 days prior to the Fairness Hearing
Fairness Hearing	July 29, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. at 100 Federal Plaza, Courtroom 1030, Central Islip, New York 11722

29. Service of all papers on counsel for the Parties shall be made as follows: for Class Counsel to Daniel A. Schlanger, Esq., Schlanger Law Group LLP, 333 Fairview Avenue, Westwood, NJ 07675; for Defendant to A. Michael Furman, Esq., Spencer A. Richards, Esq., Furman Kornfeld & Brennan LLP, 61 Broadway, 26th Floor, New York, New York, 10006

30. The address of this Court for purposes of any Objection as set forth in paragraph 25 is: Clerk of the Court, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, 100 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, NY 11722.

31. In the event that a Final Approval Order and Judgment is not entered by the Court, or the Effective Date of the Settlement does not occur, or the Settlement Agreement otherwise terminates according to its terms, this Order and all orders entered in connection therewith shall become null and void, shall be of no further force and effect, and shall not be used or referred to for

any purposes whatsoever, including without limitation for any evidentiary purpose (including but not limited to class certification), in this Litigation or any other action. In such event the Settlement Agreement, exhibits, attachments and all negotiations and proceedings related thereto shall be deemed to be without prejudice to the rights of any and all of the Parties, who shall be restored to their respective positions as of the date and time immediately preceding the execution of the Settlement Agreement.

32. Any deadlines set in this Preliminary Approval Order may be extended, or other aspects of the settlement modified, by order of the Court, for good cause shown, without further notice to the Settlement Class, except that notice of any such orders shall be posted by the Settlement Administrator to the Settlement Website that the Settlement Administrator will establish and maintain in accordance with the Agreement. Class Members should check the Settlement Website regularly for updates, changes, and/or further details regarding extensions of deadlines, orders entered by the Court and other information regarding the settlement.

33. The Parties are hereby authorized to establish the means necessary to administer the Settlement.

34. All proceedings in this Litigation, other than those necessary to carry out, or incidental to carrying out, the terms and conditions of this Order are stayed and suspended until further order of this Court.

35. The settlement shall not constitute an admission, concession, or indication of the validity of any claims or defenses in the Litigation, or of any wrongdoing, liability, or violation by Defendants, who vigorously denies all of the claims and allegations raised in the Litigation.

It is SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 13, 2022
Central Islip, New York

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**